

DIAGONALIZATION

This week we will learn about:

- Diagonalization of matrices,
- Matrix functions, and
- Why diagonalization is amazing.

Extra reading:


- Section 3.4 in the textbook
- Lecture videos [40](#), [41](#), [42](#), [43](#), and [44](#) on YouTube
- [Diagonalizable matrix](#) at Wikipedia
- [Matrix exponential](#) at Wikipedia

Extra textbook problems:

★ 3.4.1

★★ 3.4.2, 3.4.4, 3.4.6, 3.4.7, 3.4.22

★★★ 3.4.8–3.4.12, 3.4.21

 3.4.23

Diagonalization

One of the primary uses of eigenvalues and eigenvectors is that they let us put (most) matrices into a form that makes them almost as easy to work with as diagonal matrices.

Definition 11.1 — Diagonalizable Matrices

A square matrix A is called **diagonalizable** if there is a diagonal matrix D and an invertible matrix P such that $A = PDP^{-1}$.

To get an idea of why diagonalizability is useful, consider the problem of computing a large power of a matrix, like A^{500} .

- If A is a general matrix...

- If A is a diagonal matrix...

- If A is diagonalizable...

But how could we ever hope to determine whether or not a matrix is diagonalizable? It turns out that eigenvalues and eigenvectors give us the answer:

Theorem 11.1 — Diagonalizability

Let A be an $n \times n$ matrix. Then the following are equivalent:

- a) A is diagonalizable.
- b) A has a set of n linearly independent eigenvectors.

Furthermore, if A is diagonalizable then $A = PDP^{-1}$, where P is the matrix whose columns are the n linearly independent eigenvectors, and D is the diagonal matrix whose diagonal entries are the eigenvalues corresponding to the eigenvectors in P in the same order.

Proof. Start by noticing that the equation $A = PDP^{-1}$ is equivalent to...



The previous theorem is nice since it completely characterizes when a matrix is diagonalizable. However, there is one special case that is worth pointing out where it is actually much easier to prove that a matrix is diagonalizable.

Theorem 11.2 — Matrices with Distinct Eigenvalues

Let A be an $n \times n$ matrix with **distinct** eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$. Then A is diagonalizable.

Proof. We just need to prove that eigenvectors corresponding to different eigenvalues are linearly independent. To show this...



Thus its diagonalization is:

Finally, we can use this diagonalization to compute arbitrary powers of the matrix:

Thus we obtain the following simple formula for the n -th Fibonacci number:

The idea used throughout this example applies in a lot of generality: if we can represent something by matrix multiplication, then there's a good chance that diagonalization (via eigenvalues/eigenvectors) can shed light on the problem.

Arbitrary Matrix Powers

Once we have diagonalized a matrix, performing an operation on it is almost as easy as performing that operation on a number. We already saw this with computing large powers of a matrix: our procedure was...

- a) First,
- b) Next,
- c) Finally,

This same basic idea works in lots of generality, and helps us talk about things we wouldn't even know how to define otherwise. For example...

What is a square root B of the matrix A ?

OK, how could we find a square root of A ?

Example. Find a square root of the matrix $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 4 \\ -1 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$.

Similarly, we can use this method to define the r -th power of a diagonalizable matrix for any real number r (i.e., r doesn't need to be an integer):

Definition 11.2 — Matrix Powers

Let r be a real number and let A be a diagonalizable matrix (i.e., $A = PDP^{-1}$ for some invertible P and diagonal D). Then $A^r = PD^rP^{-1}$, where D^r is obtained by raising each of its diagonal entries to the r -th power.

Example. Compute A^π when $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 4 \\ -1 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$.

Question to ponder: What happens when $r = -1$ in the above definition?

Arbitrary Matrix Functions

The previous section just touched the tip of the iceberg: we can also extend any function with a Taylor series to matrices now. For example, let's consider the function e^x . Recall that

$$e^x = 1 + x +$$

With that in mind, we define e^A , where A is a square matrix, as follows:

That seems like it might be nasty to calculate in general. Fortunately, we can just do what we usually do: diagonalize, apply the function e^x to each diagonal entry, and then un-diagonalize.

Example. Compute e^A , where $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 4 \\ -1 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$.

Why does this work?

The following properties of the matrix exponential are straightforward to check:

- $e^O = I$
 - $e^A e^{-A} = I$
-
-
-

There wasn't really anything too special about the function e^x here that let us define it for matrices: we can do the same thing for any function that equals its Taylor series, and the idea is exactly the same: just apply the function to the diagonal entries in the diagonalization of the matrix.

Example. Compute $\sin(A)$, where $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 4 \\ -1 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$.

So go forth, and compute the sin, arctan, and log of matrices to your heart's content!

(Unless the matrices aren't diagonalizable... in that case, take Advanced Linear Algebra (MATH 3221) to learn what to do.)